

Mar. 21, 1981

Mr. Harold Weisberg
Route 12
Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Harold,

Let me briefly explain my reasons for writing. I am presently working at The Progressive in Madison and attempting to get an article published. After talking with David Wrone, I've decided to do a piece on Lirton's Best Evidence, how publishers push such books, and how readers are ripped off.

Where is a good place to begin? What areas would you suggest concentrating on? Is my thesis sound, or do you think another approach would be better? In addition, please include any other suggestions, issues or subject areas which I am certain I've overlooked.

I should add, Harold, that I have MacMillan's press packet on Best Evidence. How would you use it? To me, items such as "Suggested interview" provide some disturbing insights into publishing and book reviewing in general.

One additional comment. I am by no means certain that The Progressive will publish an article on this subject, but I believe the editorial staff will at least take a look at it. To that extent, it's up to me to find an angle on this subject that they might consider. As I am sure you are aware, David Wrone hasn't had much luck with The Progressive in the past. (I am also attempting to get a review of David and Lloyd Guth's bibliography printed.)

Harold, I hope this letter finds you well and, if possible, I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

George Supold

2334 Allied Dr. Apt. 2
Madison, WI 53711